

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,418	07/18/2003	Isidoro L. Rodriguez	ISI-001	4147
7590 03/16/2006			EXAMINER	
Juan C. Villar			PUROL, SARAH L	
9365 Aegean D				
Boca Raton, FL 33496			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/622,418	RODRIGUEZ, ISIDORO L.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sarah Purol	3634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTED IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISTRICT IN COMMENTARY OF THE MAILING DISTRICT IN COMMENT IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISTRICT IN COMMENT IN CHEVE THE MAILING DISTRICT IN CHEVE THE MAILING DEPLIES THE MAILING	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠	Since this application is in condition for allowa	s action is non-final. noe except for formal matters, pro				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-15</u> is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	k(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3634

OFFICE ACTION

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,3,6,7,8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sanford et al. 5,335,796 or Edgerton 6,283,312. Sanford et al teach a plurality of pinch barriers 16 on a rack 10 that is capable of pinching a portion of an envelope package between the barriers. Edgerton teaches a plurality of pinch barriers between gaps 34, wherein the gaps are able to pinching a portion of an envelope package. It should be noted that both Sanford et al. and Edgerton are preloaded.

Claims 4,5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sanford et al. 5,335,796 et al. Sanford teach the device claimed and as described further including a carousel arrangement capable of rotation as best seen in Figure 2.

Newly submitted claims 9-15 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Group I, claims 1-8 pertain to merchandiser packaging. Group II, claims 9-15 are related to a method of packaging. These groups are related as subcombinations usuable together. The particular steps of the method recited are not required for the merchandiser packaging article claims and were not presented with the original claims.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for

Art Unit: 3634

prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 9-15 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S REMARKS

Applicant argues that the art applied is not functional for applicant's purpose.

The examiner disagrees and would point out that applicant is arguing that which has not been positively claimed. The envelope packages referred to are not positively claimed.

Furthermore, the examiner asserts that even if the envelope packages were positively claimed, the art would still apply since size of the envelope packages are variable according to the article packaged.

This is an RCE of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/622,418. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/622,418

Art Unit: 3634

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah Purol. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. Tue. Thurs. For general questions relating to this application please e-mail the examiner at Sarah.Purol@USPTO.GOV.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sarah Purol

Primary Examiner

AU 3634